

|   |                                       |                                   |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/002,560  | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>BAY ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1732           |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

(2) Pamela L. Stewart.

**Date of Interview:** 13 September 2004

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*that of record.*

Claims discussed:  
*those pending.*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*that of record.*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Applicant's arguments filed 7-12-2004 are persuasive. Non-elected claims 13-22 were approved to be canceled by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)